



Community says NO to LNG, Ngāmotu beach protest, 26 July 2026. Photo by Tania Niwa.

Why we say NO to LNG

The low probability catastrophic consequences of having a Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) import facility in Port Taranaki are unacceptable. There are thousands of homes, schools, childcare centres and public beaches nearby. No new LNG facilities overseas are allowed to be located so close to homes.

The government intends to sign contracts before the election and enact 'Enabling legislation' to allow the LNG facility to be operational by 2028 or earlier. Such a rushed process would not allow proper safety risks and environmental impact assessment or public consultation. The Infrastructure Commission warned the government to slow down and develop the 'right solution, not the most expedient one'. The Auditor General has been urged to conduct an inquiry.

The now unredacted Concept Consulting report, released by the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment after an Ombudsman ruling, confirmed that there's 'no' to 'low' need of LNG import. It would not help electricity prices most years, and might even raise them. Alternatives exist.

The government has yet to figure out how to pay for the \$1-\$2.7 billion facility. We know clearly there will be a cost burden on us.

Every dollar spent on prolonging our fossil fuel reliance is a dollar less for renewable energy generation, conservation and storage needed to ensure an energy resilient future for Aotearoa.

Rather than wasting billions of dollars on an expensive, dangerous and unnecessary fossil gas facility, we demand the government to invest in the many viable alternatives and incentivise the transition away from fossil fuels.

Sign the petition: <https://community.greenpeace.org.nz/petitions/no-lng-import-facility-at-port-taranaki>

For more information: <http://climatejusticetaranaki.info>



16/08/2026



Community says NO to LNG, Ngāmotu beach protest, 26 July 2026. Photo by Tania Niwa.

Why we say NO to LNG

The low probability catastrophic consequences of having a Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) import facility in Port Taranaki are unacceptable. There are thousands of homes, schools, childcare centres and public beaches nearby. No new LNG facilities overseas are allowed to be located so close to homes.

The government intends to sign contracts before the election and enact 'Enabling legislation' to allow the LNG facility to be operational by 2028 or earlier. Such a rushed process would not allow proper safety risks and environmental impact assessment or public consultation. The Infrastructure Commission warned the government to slow down and develop the 'right solution, not the most expedient one'. The Auditor General has been urged to conduct an inquiry.

The now unredacted Concept Consulting report, released by the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment after an Ombudsman ruling, confirmed that there's 'no' to 'low' need of LNG import. It would not help electricity prices most years, and might even raise them. Alternatives exist.

The government has yet to figure out how to pay for the \$1-\$2.7 billion facility. We know clearly there will be a cost burden on us.

Every dollar spent on prolonging our fossil fuel reliance is a dollar less for renewable energy generation, conservation and storage needed to ensure an energy resilient future for Aotearoa.

Rather than wasting billions of dollars on an expensive, dangerous and unnecessary fossil gas facility, we demand the government to invest in the many viable alternatives and incentivise the transition away from fossil fuels.

Sign the petition: <https://community.greenpeace.org.nz/petitions/no-lng-import-facility-at-port-taranaki>

For more information: <http://climatejusticetaranaki.info>



16/08/2026